Senior Design Poster
Judging Criteria

Only projects selected by Professors and the Director of the Learning Factory will be considered for these awards.

Each item can receive a maximum of 5 points.

Poster Category (Rated by viewing poster only.)

**Visual Appeal**

Neat and professionally presented information is easy to understand. Graphs and diagrams are well labeled.

**Technical Content**

Sound engineering principles and quantitative modeling are used wherever applicable to assure the best solution. Use of creativity and completeness of project is expected.

**Organization**

The poster is logically organized and is there an easy to follow progression from objective and/or problem statement to conclusions and recommendations.

**Recommendation:**

Due to the number of posters to be judged, it is helpful to do a preliminary walk through to see the nominated posters. This gives you an opportunity to “pre-select” the posters that have merit for further judging. Look for posters that meet the requirements, show originality, and are appealing to the viewer. Try to limit this “shortened list” to 5 – 10 posters between the poster judges. Go back to those pre-selected posters and judge them against the judging criteria.
Senior Design Project
Judging Criteria

Only projects selected by Instructors and the Director of the Learning Factory will be considered for these awards.

Each item can receive a maximum of 5 points.

Project Judging Categories (Rated by interviewing teams on the floor at the Showcase.)

Technical Content

- Did the team approach the problem in a way that is consistent with the disciplinary expertise of its members?
- Was appropriate modeling, analysis, and/or testing used to help identify and refine solutions?
- Was the team creative in their solution and/or approach to the problem?

Customer Satisfaction

- Does the project solution directly address the customer's stated objectives (is the solution appropriate)?
- Does the project solution meet/exceed customer’s expectations (is the customer satisfied)?
- Did the team demonstrate improvements in product/process quality or cost/time savings?

Project Management

- Is there evidence of strong teamwork and good communication among team members?
- Did the team acknowledge and stay within design constraints (e.g., budget, schedule, cost)?

Oral Presentation and Display

- Did the oral presentation clearly convey the necessary background, approach, results, and recommendations?
- Did the team's display (poster, simulation, prototype, etc.) clearly describe the technical project and solution?

Intangibles

- Other noteworthy aspects not covered above that add value to the project quality and/or completeness? (e.g., level of difficulty of project, new technology and/or innovative process or product, cool, etc.)